FYCOM 82-7059 24 November 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR:	Executive Committee Members	
FROM:	Executive Assistant to the DDCI	25X1
SUBJECT:	Minutes of 19 November 1982 Executive Committee Meeting: Proposed Revisions of 1) PAR; 2) Overtime Policy	25X1
Office of Persons Report and overti session; particip	Dants included Messrs. Fitzwater (DDA); Gates DDS&T); George (ADDO); (Deputy General	25X1 25X1 25X1
recommend reaffir rating of "4" ref would suggest des levels of perform DCI's expressed place less emphas narratives, however consideration. Egrading system of satisfactory (curthat if this system of the satisfactory (curthat if this system of PARs for SIS malike to test the without any numer would then provide consideration, et descriptor categors strong linkage ammeasurement of period that not a for such a system.	Mr. Glerum advised the Committee that he would ming the present PAR system, emphasizing that a flected a satisfactory performance. He also signing and testing a model containing only three mance, vice the existing seven levels. Given the preference for revising the current system to sis on numerical ratings and more on useful ver, he offered other alternatives for first, he suggested adopting a three-level unsatisfactory (equivalent to the current 1-3), rent 4-6), and superior (current 7). He noted the were adopted, new criteria would need to be urding QSIs and SIS bonuses. A second is a two-level system-satisfactory or An optional feature could be memoranda in lieu members. Finally, Mr. Glerum said that he would third alternative, a narrative evaluation only ical grades. Career Service Boards and panels le rankings of employees for promotion cetera, by assigning the existing comparative pries, I-IV. Mr. Glerum noted this would require mong Annual Work Plans, well developed standards, arformance, and well understood precepts. He all parts of the Agency were presently prepared to but IMS and OCR could serve as good test	
beds.	recapped Mr. Glerum's suggestions, noted that source of employee grievances, and requested	25X1

25X1

25X1

comments.

3. Mr. Taylor said that the PAR had been tampered with
enough and should be left essentially the way it was. He
advocated striving for improved panel/board evaluations and more
realistic narrative appraisals. He acknowledged that the PAR had
some flaws, but noted that was unavoidable. Mr. Glerum observed
that the descriptor categories had been the best addition to the
evaluation process. Panels tended to achieve a better spread
using them than supervisors achieve with the PAR numerical
ratings. Mr. Hineman saw some merit in testing the completely
narrative system and endorsed not making any changes in the PAR
system in the meantime. In response to question,
members agreed it would be a mistake to have a different PAR
system for the SIS. Mr. Fitzwater recommended not revising the
PAR. If some change were deemed necessary, he would favor the
third alternative Mr. Glerum suggested testing.

25X1

25X1

Mr. Gates observed that revising the PAR form to overcome shortcomings in the appraisal process would be an abdication of senior management responsibility. Noting that the current system could work if managers made it work, he outlined recent steps taken in his directorate to combat inflated He proposed that the Executive Director acknowledge to the DDCI/DCI the shortcomings identified in the present PAR system and suggest overcoming them by doing two things. senior managers should start at the top by ensuring that ratings are not inflated. Second, all regulations and policies that tend to drive ratings up--such as existing criteria for QSIs and SIS awards--should be revised. Mr. Gates also suggested that the Executive Director should point out that after a period of instability in the personnel system, employees had settled down and were focusing on the business at hand, and a new injection of instability could be counterproductive. Messrs. Hineman and George concurred with Mr. Gates' suggestions. Mr. Glerum said that standards for awards could be developed to substitute narrative justifications for the current numerical criteria that tend to drive ratings up. agreed to convey the group's consensus to the DDCI/DCI.

25X1 25X1

5. Overtime Policy. Mr. Glerum reviewed the development of the existing overtime donation whereby GS-12 - GS-15 employees donate the first eight hours of overtime worked per week and presented the PMAB's recommended revision that those employees be paid for all directed overtime outside of the normally scheduled workday. Mr. George opposed the revision, saying that it would wreak havoc for the DDO overseas. pointed out that when the Executive Committee last considered this issue, the General Counsel affirmed the legality of existing Agency policy. He also noted that overtime pay had been the subject of only two grievance cases. After a brief discussion,

25X1

25X1

## Approved For Release 2008/02/07 : CIA-RDP89-01114R000300010010-1

noted that the Committee affirmed the policy. The meeting was adjourned.	e existing overtime donation	25X1
		25X1
Distribution: ExDir		
DDI DDA DDO		
DDS&T D/Personnel General Counsel EXCOM Subject		
EXCOM Minutes	·	

ER